UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,979	12/30/2003	Koji Mishima	890050.454	8832
	7590 04/21/200 ECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AV	E	ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA	x 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/748,979	MISHIMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		Martin J. Angebrannot	1795	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	EPLY FILED <u>16 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ Ti a∣ a∣ fo	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on opplication, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceptiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
have be under 37 set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ins of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. 🔲 T fil N	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	⊓he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
(8	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a They raise new issues that would require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
`	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	., ,		ne issues for
(0	I) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
л П _п	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224\
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (i	-10L-324).
6. 🔲 1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
h T C C C	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) but the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: none. laim(s) objected to: none. laim(s) rejected: 17-27,29 and 31. laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e.	xplanation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
e	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Martin J Angebranndt/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to claim 17 now places the second and third paragraphs in conflict. The issue of crystallization is new.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: To address the 112 rejection, the claims should indices that the recording layer comprises an amorphous oxide, nitride, sulfide or carbide of M combined with elemental Al, Mg or Ti, where the amorphous oxide, nitride, sulfide or carbide can become crystallized upon exposure. The references that have been applied in the prior art seem to relate more to alloying or redox reactions between the elemental materials and the oxides, nitrides, sulfide or carbides. The layer (8) of Suzuki et al. is an adhesive layer, which does not preclude the light form reaching the further recording layer. Were this to be a thick reflective layer (100+ nm), then the applicant's position would be correct. SHOULD THE APPLICANT DECIDE TO FILE AN RCE, THE APPLICANT SHOULD CONSIDER ADDING METHOD CLAIMS. The references are all within the optical recording media art and so are combinable.